

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: MINGANTI=1

In re Application of:)	Conf. No.: 9222
)	
Gianni MINGANTI)	Art Unit: 1791
)	
Appln. No.: 10/537,904)	Examiner: C. T. SCHATZ
)	
Filed: June 14, 2006)	Washington, D.C.
)	
For: METHOD AND PLANT FOR)	May 12, 2010
APPLYING ...)	

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, **Mail Stop Amendments**
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Applicant is in receipt of a Notice dated April 12, 2010. The Notice indicates that the Amendment filed on December 30, 2009, though a *bona fide* response, is not fully responsive because it omits arguments with respect to Amberg (US 3,767,496). As required by the Notice, Applicants herein submit corrected Remarks that correspond to the the Remarks submitted with December 30, 2009 Amendment, as revised to supply the omission or correction (i.e., to include specific arguments with respect to Amberg (US 3,767,496)). The claim amendments in the December 30, 2009 Amendment are not being resubmitted, as they were found to be correct. Thus, the claim amendments in the December 30, 2009 Amendment should be entered and considered along with the corrected Remarks submitted herein.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.